



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/819,731	03/29/2001	Masayoshi Shimizu	826.1719	4353	
21171 75	90 02/24/2005		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			DESIRE, GR	DESIRE, GREGORY M	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2625		

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	LA 1: Ai No	A			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Assistant Commencer	09/819,731	SHIMIZU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gregory M. Desire	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ja	nuary 2005.				
	·				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5-7,24 and 25 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 4,18-23 and 26 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 March 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2625

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/11/05 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 4 and 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakajima (6,701,011) in view of Kamakawa et al (5,809,366).

  Regarding claims 4 and 18 Nakajima discloses,

A user preference obtaining unit outputting images at two or more correction levels as corrected images for a given image (note col. 19 lines 31-35, nine different adjustment patterns examiner interprets as two or more correction levels), and allowing a user to select a preferred corrected image (note col. 19 lines 35-48, user selects a preferred corrected image); and

Art Unit: 2625

Nakajima is silent disclosing an image correction unit correcting an image to be corrected, which is different from the given image based on a selection result from the user. However Nakajima teaches an image correction unit correcting an image to be corrected, which is different from the given image based on a selection result from the user (note fig. 3 block 10 in connection with col. 5 lines 60-65). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills to disclose an image correction unit correcting an image to be corrected, which is different from the given image based on a selection result from the user. Nakajima creates a selection result from the user preference. Yamakawa in the same field of endeavor uses the selected results from the user to correct subsequent images, providing an accurate calibration that is standard in a system (note col. 2 lines 6-10).

As to: wherein said given image is stored in advance in an image correction unit as an image of quality generally preferred by a large number of users (note Nakajima col. 20 line 18-20, lines cite image prepared beforehand).

Regarding claim 19 Nakajima and Yamakawa discloses,

Wherein said user preference obtaining unit outputs images at two or more correction levels corresponding to the plurality of given images to allow the user to select preferred corrected images (note Nakajima fig. 24a and 24b in connection with col. 20 lines 40-50). Nine thumbnail images correspond to the two or more correction levels and block 165 (scene) provides plurality of given images to allow the user to select preferred corrected images.

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 20 Nakajima and Yamakawa discloses,

Wherein said plurality or given images are different in type (note Nakajima col. 20 lines 48-50, shows image different in type), and said user preference obtaining unit allows the user to selects preferred corrected image corresponding to each type (note Nakajima col. 20 line 44-47).

Regarding claim 21 Nakajima and Yamakawa discloses,

A user specified image input unit receiving a user specified image as the given image (note Nakajima fig. 24b block 165 and col. 20 lines 40-45, sample is user specified image input unit receiving a use specified image).

Regarding claim 22 Nakajima and Yamakawa discloses,

User preference obtaining unit requests a user to input user identifier for identification of the user, and allows each user to select a preferred corrected image (fig. 32, block 5)

Regarding claims 23 Nakajima and Yamakawa discloses,

Wherein said user preference obtaining unit prints and outputs images at two or more correction level (note Nakajima col. 19 lines 38-40, user prints nine images of different adjusting parameters (two or more correction levels); and

Art Unit: 2625

Apparatus further comprises an image-printing unit printing and outputting an image to be corrected, which has actually been corrected by said image correction unit (note Nakajima col. 19 lines 41-48).

4. Claims 8-12, 14-17 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakajima in view of Yanagida.

Regarding claims 8, 15-17 and 26 Nakajima discloses,

A user corrected image-obtaining unit outputting a predetermined image (note col. 19 lines 31-35, nine different adjustment patterns examiner interprets as two or more correction levels), and allowing a user to correct the output image (note col. 19 lines 35-48, user selects a preferred corrected image)

Image correction unit, correcting an image to be corrected which is different from the predetermined image based on a correction result of the user (Once a adjustment value is set automatic correction of the level occurs, thus correcting an image to be corrected different from predetermined image (note col. 31 lines 1-6). Nakajima is silent disclosing a correction preferred by a group of people. However, Yanagida discloses designating device, designating an individual or group. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art to include designating device of Yanagida in the system of Nakajima. Nakajima teaches a user correcting images and selecting preferences. Yanagida in the same field of endeavor allows for a simple way for designating image processing (note col. 1 lines 60-65).

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claim 9 Nakajima and Yanagida discloses,

Wherein said user preference obtaining unit outputs images at two or more correction levels corresponding to the plurality of given images to allow the user to select preferred corrected images (note Nakajima fig. 24a and 24b in connection with col. 20 lines 40-50). Nine thumbnail images correspond to the two or more correction levels and block 165 (scene) provides plurality of given images to allow the user to select preferred corrected images.

Regarding claim 10 Nakajima and Yanagida discloses,

Wherein said plurality or given images are different in type (note Nakajima col. 20 lines 48-50, shows image different in type), and said user preference obtaining unit allows the user to selects preferred corrected image corresponding to each type (note Nakajima col. 20 line 44-47).

Regarding claims 12 Nakajima and Yanagida discloses,

Wherein said given image is stored in advance in an image correction unit as an image of quality generally preferred by a large number of users (note Nakajima col. 20 line 18-20, lines cite image prepared beforehand).

Regarding claim11 Nakajima and Yanagida discloses,

Art Unit: 2625

A user specified image input unit receiving a user specified image as the given image (note Nakajima fig. 24b block 165 and col. 20 lines 40-45, sample is user specified image input unit receiving a use specified image).

Regarding claim 14 Nakajima and Yanagida discloses,

Wherein said user preference obtaining unit prints and outputs images at two or more correction level (note Nakajima col. 19 lines 38-40, user prints nine images of different adjusting parameters (two or more correction levels); and

Apparatus further comprises an image-printing unit printing and outputting an image to be corrected, which has actually been corrected by said image correction unit (note Nakajima col. 19 lines 41-48).

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 5. Nakajima and Yanagida in view of Kanno et al (6,577,761).

Regarding claim13 Nakajima and Yanagida is silent disclosing,

Wherein said user preference obtaining unit requests a user t input a user identifier for identification of the user, and allows each user to select a preferred corrected image. However, Kanno discloses user inputs identifier and allows each user to select a preferred corrected image (note col. 12 lines 42-47 and 54-60, user inputs user identification, allows user to select an output image).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art to include user identification in the system of Nakajima and Yanagida as evidenced by

Art Unit: 2625

Kanno. Nakajima and Yanagida teach user selection of a corrected preferred image and setting the select preference for other images. Kanno in the same field of endeavor identifies specific user of an output image, thus providing and maintaining the preference of a specific user of a system operated by many user (note col. 1 lines 61-66).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-3, 5-7 and 24-25 are allowed.
- 7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: for independent claims 1, 24 and 25. The prior art fails to teach a plurality of corrected image variation of a given image, the variation corrected images including one representing the corrected image of a quality preferred widely among a large number of people and having been stored in said image correction apparatus. Claims 2-3 and 5-7 depend on claim1. Therefore are also allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory M. Desire whose telephone number is (703)

Art Unit: 2625

308-9586. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-6:00) Second Monday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on (703) 308-5246. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Gregory M. Desire Examiner Art Unit 2625

G.D. February 22, 2005

MINER

Page 9

TECHNULUGI CLATER 2600